

Journal of Nutritional Health & Food Science

Open Access

The Influence of a Meal Replacement Formula on Leptin Regulation in Obese Adults

Sadaf Koohkan¹, Mana Golesorkhi ², Mara Z Vitolins³, David H McCarthy⁴, Peter Deibert⁵ Daniel König⁶ and Aloys Berg⁷*

¹Zentrum für Prävention und Sportmedizin, Technische Universität München, Germany ²NIHR Clinical Research Network: Diabetes, Metabolic & Endocrine and Renal Disorders, Imperial College, London ³Department of Epidemiology & Prevention, Wake Forest University Health Sciences, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, US ⁴Department of Health and Human Sciences, London Metropolitan University, London, UK ⁵Institut für Bewegungs- und Arbeitsmedizin, Universitätsklinikum Freiburg, Germany ⁶Department of Nutrition, Institut für Sport und Sportwissenschaft, Universität Freiburg, Germany ⁷Medizinische Fakultät der Universität Freiburg, Germany

Received: September 06, 2016; Accepted: October 05, 2016; Published: October 28, 2016

*Corresponding author: Prof. Dr. med. Aloys Berg, Medizinische Fakultät der Universität Freiburg, E-mail: aloys.berg@klinikum.uni-reiburg.de

Abstract

Background and Purpose: The increasing prevalence of overweight and obese adults warrants improved dietary strategies for weight management and metabolic control. Hence, the objective of this study was to investigate the effects of a high-protein diet on leptin regulation.

Methods: This study was a secondary analysis of data collected from a randomized controlled trial, conducted in 90 overweight adults (age: 47.5 ± 7.5 yrs.; BMI: 31.5 ± 2.3 kg/m²) who were followed over a 24-week control period. Changes in leptin levels were quantified to determine the influence of age, gender, leptin baseline levels, weight loss and intervention type. Participants were randomized into 3 interventions groups: 1) therapeutic lifestyle changes, (LS); 2) standardized meal replacement (Almased®) (MR); and 3) standardized meal replacement accompanied by supervised physical training (MRPT). For the analyses, both diet groups (MR, MRPT) were pooled into one common group and compared to the LS group in a parallel two-group study design with endpoint assessment after 24 weeks of intervention.

Results: In total, 83 participants completed the 24-week study. Significant improvements in body composition and metabolic regulation occurred in all intervention participants regardless of their group assignment (LS; MR, MRPT). Participants' consumption of the meal replacement (MR; MRPT) had an independent, significant effect on serum leptin levels (-15.5 ± 7.5 and -12.5 ± 7.8 vs. -8.7 ± 6.1 ng/ml). Greater body weight reductions were also observed in the diet groups (-8.9 ± 3.9 kg) compared to the LS group (-6.2 ± 4.2 kg). Conclusions

our findings suggest that meal replacement can safely and effectively produce significant weight loss, which may be in part due to a reduction in plasma leptin levels. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00356785

Keywords: Leptin; Meal Replacement; Energy Metabolism; High Protein Diet; Weight Regulation;

Abbreviations

Yrs: Years; BMI: Body Mass Index; kg/m2: Kilogram/ Meter2; LS: Lifestyle group; MR: Standardized meal replacement group; MRPT: Standardized meal replacement accompanied by supervised physical training; ng/ml: Nanogram/millilitre; kg: Kilogram; h-CRP: High-sensitivity C-reactive protein; IL6: Human Interleukin 6; SD: standard deviations; SPSS: Analytical Software; BW: Body Weight; G: Gramm

Introduction

Obesity levels have dramatically increased in the United States (US) and in the majority of European countries during the past few decades [1-3]. A study in 2001 [4] revealed increases in obesity among US adults for both sexes and across all ages, ethnic backgrounds, educational levels and smoking levels. A chronic imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure appears to play a role in the development of obesity [5, 6].

Serum leptin levels may have a potential role in the prediction of weight loss and weight-loss maintenance [7]. Serum leptin levels may influence weight loss and weight-loss maintenance through lifestyle changes in overweight adults [8, 9]. Leptin, as a circulating adipokine, is a regulatory factor for food intake, energy expenditure and body fat distribution. Leptin also participates in a signalling system regulating the amount of adipose energy stored in the brain [5]. In addition, leptin resistance may be responsible, in part, for the development of obesity among the aging population [10].

Appropriate intervention strategies to reverse body weight gain and elevated body fat, respectively, are still a matter of debate because additional metabolic and endocrine effects may influence the course and outcomes of the weight loss process [11]. According to several studies, a high-protein, low-glycaemic diet may influence leptin regulation and weight management [11-13]. For this reason, a subgroup analysis was performed on the data collected from an intervention study to examine the effects of a protein-rich meal replacement on the body composition and metabolic conditions of overweight adults.

Materials and Methods

For the secondary data analyses, we used data collected from a randomized controlled three-arm study including 90 men and women. All volunteers were overweight middle-aged adults $(31.5 \pm 2.3 \text{ kg/m}^2; 47.5 \pm 7.5 \text{ yrs.})$; non-smokers; free from known food allergies, metabolic diseases; and none regularly used any medications.

For the main trial, participants were randomized into 3 intervention groups: 1) therapeutic lifestyle changes: LS; 2) standardized meal replacement (Almased ®), MR; and 3) standardized meal replacement accompanied with supervised exercise/physical training (MRPT). All participants completed a comprehensive medical examination before and after the intervention, including body composition analysis by air displacement plethysmography (Bod Pod®) [14] and laboratory investigations, i.e., blood glucose, insulin, plasma lipids, inflammatory markers (h-CRP, IL6) and leptin [11].

For this investigation, we analysed leptin and insulin changes to determine the effects of age, gender, baseline level, weight loss, and intervention type. In this analysis, the effects of the therapeutic lifestyle vs. diet with and without supervised exercise interventions on the selected laboratory criteria were evaluated. We also evaluated the change from baseline to the end of intervention at the 24-week visit.

All volunteers were interviewed and screened before participating in this study at the Department of Sports Medicine of the Freiburg University hospital. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki guidelines. All procedures involving human subjects were approved by the Ethics Commission of Freiburg University (EK-Freiburg No. 230/01) and registered as a controlled clinical trial (ClinicalTrials. gov Identifier: NCT00356785). All participants provided written informed consent. The clinical trial was designed and performed according to an approved, published protocol [11].

Statistical Analysis

For the secondary data analysis, both meal replacement groups were merged into a single group (MR/MRPT) due to the similarity of their outcomes (Table 1). The meal replacement groups were compared to the lifestyle group (LS) in a parallel two-group evaluation. The endpoint assessment occurred 24 weeks after the interventions.

The results are expressed as the means \pm Standard Deviations [SD] for all parameters. Descriptive, multivariable analyses were performed to evaluate the changes from baseline using the exact Mann-Whitney test and the ANOVA test. For each participant, complete data sets were available in Microsoft® Excel XP spreadsheets. Significance was defined as p < 0.05 (Two-Way). All analyses were performed using SPSS version 18.02.

Results

The data from the first analysis in the original study [11, 12] indicated that there were no significant differences between MR and MRPT (p > 0.1) (Table 1).

Therefore, for this secondary data analysis, both meal replacement groups using the meal replacement formula were merged into a single group (MR/MRPT) and compared to the lifestyle group (LS) with endpoint assessment after 24 weeks of intervention (Table 2). At baseline, all demographic, clinical and laboratory variables were not different between groups. Independent of the intervention (LS vs. MR/MRPT), the 83 patients who completed the study had significant improvements in body composition and metabolic regulation (Table 2). The MR and MRPT groups experienced changes in body weight (BW -8.9 \pm 3.9 kg) (independent of training) and had significantly greater weight reductions compared to the LS group (-6.2 \pm 4.2 kg).

We also detected significant differences from baseline in fat mass, serum leptin levels, and insulin between the interventions (Table 2). Even after adjusting for weight loss differences, participants in the MR/MRPT group showed significantly larger reductions in serum leptin levels (-13.9 ng/ml) compared with participants in the LS group (-9.8 ng/ml) post-intervention. This effect was not observed for insulin.

Discussion

In this study, we compared the effects of different weightmanagement interventions, including therapeutic lifestyle changes and the use of a meal replacement product with or without supervised exercise training. Overall weight and fat loss were significantly greater in the meal replacement group. This group also demonstrated greater improvements in blood markers of metabolic health.

In 2003, for the first time, a team at Freiburg University published their findings regarding the positive effects of a soyyoghurt-honey-based meal replacement product (Almased®) on weight reduction and the regulation of insulin and leptin [11]. According to this randomized controlled trial, insulin and leptin levels decreased more in participants using the meal replacement compared to participants receiving lifestyle group counselling. In the current study, we conducted a secondary analysis of the first 24-weeks of intervention by merging the data of the MR and MRPT groups. The main trial findings were published in 2003 and indicated the beneficial effects of the meal replacement formula on reductions in leptin and insulin levels. These results were confirmed by our secondary analyses.

When the leptin results were adjusted for age, gender, baseline leptin level, body weight change, and intervention type (LS vs. MR/MRPT), the meal replacement approach clearly had an independent effect on plasma leptin levels and improved the effects on leptin reduction caused by weight loss. Therefore, the results suggest a relationship between protein intake and leptin regulation in the overweight adults examined. Several studies have demonstrated that an increase in the

Citation: Koohkan S, Golesorkhi M, Vitolins MZ, McCarthy DH, Berg A, et al. (2016) The Influence of a Meal Replacement Formula on Leptin Regulation in Obese Adults. J Nutrition Health Food Sci 4(4): 1-5. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15226/jnhfs.2016.00169

The Influence of a Meal Replacement Formula on Leptin Regulation in Obese Adults

Table: 1							
Biochemical parameter	Before	MR groupn=28 After	p -value ^a	Before	MRPT groupn=27 After	p -value ^a	p -value ^b
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	225 ±30.4	196±23.1	0.000	221±34.8	198±32.6	0.000	0.396
HDL-cholesterol (mg/dl)	59±14.1	52±10.4	0.003	59±14.0	54±15.6	0.002	0.763
LDL-cholesterol (mg/dl)	128±25.6	114±15.2	0.003	127±29.2	112±26.3	0.000	0.897
Apo B (mg/dl)	119±20.9	101±16.2	0.000	115±27.4	92.5±25.5	0.000	0.085
Leptin (ng/dl)	37.9±26.7	22.5±13.9	0.000	33.9±24.2	21.3±16.3	0.000	0.226
Insulin (µU/ml)	11.7±8.92	6.3±3.97	0.003	13.8±11.35	7.8±5.90	0.001	0.139
Glucose (mg/dl)	92±9.4	90.0±9.1	0.226	98±14.4	91.0±10.5	0.000	0.260
Data comparison between the ^a For changes before–after	MR and MRPT gro	oups [11].		·	·		·

^bFor differences in changes between the groups

Table 2: Results for body composition, metabolic and inflammatory status (mean \pm SD) in the subgroups before and after intervention. p-values for pre-post intra-group paired differences were p<0.05 (a), p<0.01(b), p<0.005 (c). p-values for the pre-post inter-group unpaired differences were p<0.05 (x), p<0.01(y), - n.s.

	LS (n=28) Pre RCT	MR/MRPT(n=55) Pre RCT	LS (n=28) Post RCT	MR/MRPT (n=55) Post RCT
Body Weight	91.2±11.6	90.2±11.3	84.9±10.8	81.8±11.7
(kg)			с / у	с / у
Fat Mass	36.9±6.27	36.2±6.38	30.4±7.60	26.8±7.80
(kg)			c / x	c / x
Triglyceride	127±68.4	143±66.0	137±55.2	133±71.9
(mg/dl)			-	-
Apolipoprotein B	115±20.3	117±23.9	105±20.9	97±21.6
(mg/dl)			- /c	c / x
Fasting Blood	95±14.1	95±12.2	90±9.9	90±9.8
Sugar (mg/dl)			a / -	c / -
Insulin	8.8±3.92	12.7±10.1	7.4±3.98	7.1±5.02
(µU/ml)			- / y	с / у
HOMA- Index	2.2±1.26	3.1±2.65	1.7±1.00	1.6±1.17
(U)			- / y	с / у
Leptin	37±29.2	36±25.4	28±20.7	22±15.0
(ng/ml)			b / y	с / у
Interleukin-6	1.8±1.25	2.2±2.05	1.8±2.30	1.7±1.29
(pg/ml)			-	А
hs-CRP	0.27±0.22	0.30±0.28	0.23±0.16	0.19±0.17
(mg/dl)			-	С

proportion of dietary protein from 15% to 30% of energy intake with a constant level of carbohydrate intake produces a sustained decrease in ad libitum energy intake, which may be mediated by increased central nervous system leptin sensitivity resulting in significant weight loss, while sparing muscle protein loss and enhancing glycaemic control [7, 11-12, 15-16]. Participants consuming a diet high in protein with a low glycaemic index continue to lose weight after the initial weight loss [13]. In fact, higher dietary protein intake was achieved by reducing carbohydrate intake, which adds further support to the concept that reducing glycaemic load (defined as dietary carbohydrate content (g) multiplied by glycaemic index) is important for controlling body weight in obese patients [17-19]. The satiating effect of protein and specific peptides (such as those found in soy protein isolates) may also contribute to the weight loss produced by low-carbohydrate diets [7, 20]. Moreover, weight loss interventions using meal replacement approaches together with dietary counselling and increased physical activity lead to substantial, favourable changes in both anthropometric and metabolic risk factors, while preserving lean muscle mass [12, 21]. Recent studies have shown that meal replacement regimens are safe and associated with greater weight loss than individualized diet plans [22, 23]. A meal replacement regimen high in soy protein may be more effective at improving body weight and body composition and reducing associated cardio-metabolic risk factors, such as insulin, leptin, endothelial function and anthropometric measures compared to lifestyle interventions (e.g., fat restricting low calorie diets and increased physical activity) [11, 24, 25]. When the meal replacement formula was consumed, significant changes occurred in metabolic and inflammatory markers (compared to baseline). As a soy and milk protein-based product, the meal replacement used in this study had an energy-sparing effect and may also have potential additional health benefits [26]. Soy proteins have been noted to improve receptor-mediated transport of insulin and leptin through the blood brain barrier

The Influence of a Meal Replacement Formula on Leptin Regulation in Obese Adults

and are responsible for an increased effect of these hormones in the hypothalamus. This effect not only impacts appetite regulation but may also impact peripheral insulin and leptin resistance in obese participants [27-30]. These effects may be mediated by isoflavones and attributable peptides, which exhibit a variety of biological and molecular activities [20,25]. Soy isoflavones are involved in the regulation of enzymes and proteins important for lipid metabolism [31, 32]. The effects of soy protein on gene expression or the regulation of nuclear transcription factors might also, at least in part, be able to account for the alterations observed in insulin and leptin [33, 34]. Therefore, isoflavones and biologically active peptides in this meal replacement product [20] may account for some of the beneficial effects identified in this study. Specifically, we observed a reduction in body fat mass and hepatic fat accumulation, in addition to improved fatty acid metabolism, which led to lower plasma lipid levels and inflammatory markers and decreased insulin resistance [33].

Conclusions

In summary, the meal replacement strategy utilized for weight reduction in this trial may provide therapeutic benefits for obesity-associated metabolic dysregulation, including impaired glucose tolerance and leptin resistance. These findings support the hypothesis that dietary components influence energy balance, body composition and the metabolic milieus that are responsible for weight stabilization. Moreover, the meal replacement product can safely and effectively produce significant, sustainable weight loss through a reduction in serum leptin.

Acknowledgments

We thank the technical staff of the Department of Rehabilitative und Präventive Sportmedizin, Medizinische Universitätsklinik Freiburg – renamed the Institut für Bewegungs- und Arbeitsmedizin - for their assistance in input operations. We also thank all general practitioners who were engaged in supervising the lifestyle program. Last, we thank the Almased Wellness Company (Bienenbüttel, Germany) for their support in performing this study.

Competing interests

This research was funded by Almased Wellness GmbH, Bienenbüttel, Germany. A. Berg has written "The Almased Wellness Concept" outlining the effects of a soy-enriched diet in ealth and disease.

References

- Andreyeva T, Puhl RM, Brownell KD. Changes in perceived weight discrimination among Americans, 1995-1996 through 2004-2006. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2008;16(5):1129-1134. doi: 10.1038/ oby.2008.35
- Puhl RM, Andreyeva T, Brownell KD. Perceptions of weight discrimination: prevalence and comparison to race and gender discrimination in America. Int J Obes. 2008;32(6):992-1000. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2008.22.
- 3. Nguyen DM, El-Serag HB. The epidemiology of obesity. Gastroenterol Clin North Am. 2010;39(1):1-7. doi: 10.1016/j.gtc.2009.12.014

- 4. Mokdad AH, Bowman BA, Ford ES, Vinicor F, Marks JS, Koplan JP. The continuing epidemics of obesity and diabetes in the United States. JAMA. 2001;286(10):1195-1200.
- 5. Jéquier E. Leptin signaling, adiposity, and energy balance. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2002;967:379-388.
- Vasselli JR, Scarpace, PJ, Harris, RB, Banks WA. Dietary components in the development of leptin resistance. Adv Nutr. 2013;4(2):164-175. doi: 10.3945/an.112.003152
- 7. Weigle DS, Breen PA, Matthys CC, Callahan HS, Meeuws KE, Burden VR, et al. A high-protein diet induces sustained reductions in appetite, ad libitum caloric intake, and body weight despite compensatory changes in diurnal plasma leptin and ghrelin concentrations. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;82(1):41-48.
- 8. Wang P, Holst C, Andersen MR, Astrup A, Bouwman FG, van Otterdijk S, et al. Blood profile of proteins and steroid hormones predicts weight change after weight loss with interactions of dietary protein level and glycemic index. PLoS One. 2011;6(2):e16773. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0016773.
- 9. Crujeiras AB, Goyenechea E, Abete I, Lage M, Carreira MC, Martinez JA, et al. Weight gain after diet induced loss is predicted by higher baseline leptin and lower ghrelin plasma levels. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2010;95(11):5037-5044. doi: 10.1210/jc.2009-2566.
- 10. Carter S, Caron A, Richard D, Picard F. Role of leptin resistance in the development of obesity in older patients. Clin Interv Aging. 2013;8:829-844. doi: 10.2147/CIA.S36367.
- 11. Berg A, Frey I, Deibert P, Landmann U, Koenig D, Schmidt-Trucksäss A, et al. Weight loss is possible: half-year results of a clinically controlled, randomized intervention study with obese adults. Ernaehrungs-Umschau 2004;28:1349-1352.
- Deibert P, König D, Schmidt-Trucksaess A, Zaenker KS, Frey I, Landmann U, et al. Weight loss without losing muscle mass in preobese and obese subjects induced by a high-soy-protein diet. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2004;28:1349-1352. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0802765.
- 13. Larsen TM, Dalskov SM, van Baak M, Jebb SA, Papadaki A, Pfeiffer AF, et al. Diets with high or low protein content and glycemic index for weight-loss maintenance. N Engl J Med. 2010;363(22):2102-13. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1007137.
- McCrory MA, Gomez TD, Bernauer EM, Mole PA. Evaluation of a new air displacement plethysmograph for measuring human body composition. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1995;27(12):1686-1691.
- 15. Rolls BJ, Hetherington M, Burley VJ. The specificity of satiety: the influence of foods of different macronutrient content on the development of satiety. Physiol Behav. 1988;42(2):145-153.
- 16. Deibert P, König D, Vitolins MZ, Landmann U, Frey I, Zahradnik HP, et al. (2007). Effect of a weight loss intervention on anthropometric measures and metabolic risk factors in pre-versus postmenopausal women. Nutr J. 2007;6:31. DOI:10.1186/1475-2891-6-31
- 17. Brand-Miller JC, Holt SH, Pawlak DB, McMillan J. Glycemic index and obesity. Am J Clin Nutr. 2002;76(1):281S-285S.
- Miller M, Beach V, Sorkin JD, Mangano C, Dobmeier C, Novacic D, et al. Comparative effects of three popular diets on lipids, endothelial function, and C-reactive protein during weight maintenance. J Am Diet Assoc. 2009;109(4):713-717. doi: 10.1016/j.jada.2008.12.023.
- 19. Skov AR, Toubro S, Ronn B, Holm L, Astrup A. Randomized trial on protein vs carbohydrate in ad libitum fat reduced diet for the treatment of obesity. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1999;23(5):528-

Citation: Koohkan S, Golesorkhi M, Vitolins MZ, McCarthy DH, Berg A, et al. (2016) The Influence of a Meal Replacement Formula on Leptin Regulation in Obese Adults. J Nutrition Health Food Sci 4(4): 1-5. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15226/ jnhfs.2016.00169

536.

- 20. Singh BP, Vij S, Hati S. Functional significance of bioactive peptides derived from soybean. Peptides 2014;54:171–179. doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.peptides.2014.01.022
- 21. Berg A, Frey I, Landmann U, Deibert P, König D, Berg A, et al. Gewichtsreduktion durch Lebensstilintervention: Einjahresergebnisse einer klinisch kontrollierten, randomisierten studie mit übergewichtigen Erwachsenen. Ernährungs-Umschau 2005;52:310-314.
- 22. Noakes M, Foster PR, Keogh JB, Clifton PM. Meal replacements are as effective as structured weight-loss diets for treating obesity in adults with features of metabolic syndrome. J Nutr. 2004;134(8):1894-1899.
- 23. Heymsfield SB, Mierlo CA, Knaap HC, Heo M, Frier HI. Weight management using a meal replacement strategy: meta and pooling analysis from six studies. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2003;27(5):537-549. DOI:10.1038/sj.ijo.0802258
- 24. Berg A, König D, Deibert P, Frey I. Favorable metabolic properties of a soy-honey-yoghurt product for meal replacement in overweight subjects with atherogenic risk. Atherosclerosis Supp. 2008;9(1):253. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1567-5688(08)71015-8
- 25. Jang EH, Moon JS, Ko JH, Ahn CW, Lee HH, Shin JK, et al. Novel black soy peptides with antiobesity effects: activation of leptin-like signaling and AMP-activated protein kinase. Int J Obes. 2008;32(7):1161-1170. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2008.60.
- 26. Gonzalez de Mejia E, Vasconez M, de Lumen BO, Nelson R. Lunasin concentration in different soybean genotypes, commercial soy protein, and isoflavone products. J Agric Food Chem. 2004;52(19):5882-5887. DOI:10.1021/jf0496752

- 27. Banks WA. The blood-brain barrier as a cause of obesity. Curr Pharm Des. 2008;14(16):1606-1614.
- Popescu BO, Toescu EC, Popescu LM, Bajenaru O, Muresanu DF, Schultzberg MN, et al. Blood-brain barrier alterations in aging and dementia. J Neurol Sci. 2009;283(1-2):99-106. doi: 10.1016/j. jns.2009.02.321.
- 29. Flores MB, Fernandes MF, Ropelle ER, Faria MC, Ueno M, Velloso LA, et al. Exercise Improves insulin and leptin sensitivity in the hypothalamus of Wistar rats. Diabetes 2006;55(9):2554-2561. DOI:10.2337/db05-1622
- 30. Deibert P, Solleder F, König D, Vitolins MZ, Dickhuth HH, Gollhofer A, et al. Soy protein based supplementation supports metabolic effects of resistance training in untrained middle aged males. Aging Male 2011;14(4):273-279. doi: 10.3109/13685538.2011.565091.
- 31. Demonty I, Lamarche B, Deshaies Y, Jacques H. Role of soy isoflavones in the hypotriglyceridemic effect of soy protein in the rat. J Nutr Biochem. 2002;13(11):671-677.
- 32. Wang Y, Jones PJ, Ausman LM, Lichtenstein AH. Soy protein reduces triglyceride levels and triglyceride fatty acid fractional synthesis rate in hypercholesterolemic subjects. Atherosclerosis. 2004;173(2):269-75. DOI:10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2003.12.015
- 33. Velasquez MT, Bhathena SJ. Role of dietary soy protein in obesity. Int J Med Sci. 2007;4(2):72-82.
- 34. Udenigwe CC, Aluko RE. Food protein-derived bioactive peptides: production, processing, and potential health benefits. J Food Sci. 2012;77(1):R11-24. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-3841.2011.02455.x